RE: Item #7 April 5, 2007

ADDITIONAL MATERIALS



PO Box 863 Augusta, ME 04332-0863 (207) 622-0256 lwvmc@gwi.nct

TO: The Commission on Governmental Ethics and Election Practices

RE: Request for Recommendation from the Appropriations Committee on Continued Funding for Gubernatorial Candidates under the Maine Clean Elections Act

The League of Women Voters urges you today to recommend continued public funding for candidates for governor in 2010 and beyond. Failure to continue this support for gubernatorial candidates would be an enormous loss to the public good and would erode the landmark reform embodied in the Maine Clean Elections Act. It would be an unconscionable breach of public trust to do so through the budget process without a full and open public debate.

The Maine Clean Elections Act was on the ballot in 1996, a Presidential election year when Maine ranked #1 nationwide in voter turnout. This measure passed with 56% of the vote. More Maine voters (320,755) said "yes" to this ballot question than have voted for any winning gubernatorial candidate in the last fifteen years.

The financial stability of this critical program has already been eroded through past borrowing from, and failure to repay, the Maine Clean Elections Fund should be fully funded, and borrowed funds should be restored.

The League of Women Voters believes in public funding for elections at both the national and state level. The League's position on Campaign Finance reflects our continuing concern for open and honest elections and for maximum citizen participation in the political process.

The League's position is that the methods of financing political campaigns should ensure the public's right to know, combat corruption and undue influence, enable candidates to compete more equitably for public office, and allow maximum participation in the political process.

The Maine Clean Elections Act works to accomplish these important goals. Maine's public financing system is an exemplar of good government practice to be emulated in other states around the country and at the federal level. The annualized cost of public financing in Maine is truly modest in light of the fundamental public benefit it provides.

We urge you to recommend in support of continued public funding of gubernatorial candidates under the Maine Clean Elections Act.

Ann Luther, President League of Women Voters of Maine April 5, 2007

The League of Women Voters has been a leader in seeking campaign finance reform at the state and federal levels for more than three decades. The League was a founding member of the coalition now called Maine Citizens for Clean Elections that worked to pass the landmark Maine Clean Elections Act just over ten years ago.

ADDITIONAL MATERIALS

RE: Item #7 April 5, 2007





April 4th, 2007

TO: Maine Commission on Governmental Ethics and Election Practices

FROM: Jon Bartholomew, Common Cause Maine

RE: Agenda item 7 - suggestion to repeal Gubernatorial Clean Elections program

Dear Commission Members,

It has come to my attention that at the April 5th meeting of the Commission, you will consider an item regarding the repeal of the gubernatorial element of the Maine Clean Election Act. I urge you emphatically on behalf of the thousands of members of Common Cause Maine to oppose this idea.

The Maine Clean Election Act was enacted by voters concerned about the impact of special interest money in our elections. The elected official with the most power in the state of Maine is the Governor, and therefore it is critically important that the race for Governor is retained as part of the Clean Elections system.

The concerns about the cost of the system would be moot if the legislature had not borrowed money in previous years. The funding mechanism was designed quite effectively and had it been left alone, there would be no concerns about shortfalls for 2010. We support restoring the borrowed funds and then letting the Clean Election Fund grow as it was designed to.

The Maine Clean Election Act is not only widely supported by Mainers today after several cycles of its use, but it is also a beacon of hope for the rest of the nation. Since we passed Clean Elections, several other states have passed it, and just this last week New Mexico passed a Clean Election program for judicial races (and the Governor is expected to sign the legislation). Maryland, Iowa and Washington State all might pass legislation this year based on our success. In addition, federal legislation based on our law has recently been introduced in the US Senate and US House.

This is a program that is working. Eliminating a program that is working that the voters enacted would be a bad move policy-wise and politically.

Yours truly,

Jon Bartholomew Common Cause Maine